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For the second election in a row, the voter turnout rate among young people increased. The voter turnout rate among 18-to-29-year-olds increased three percentage points between 2002 and 2006 from 22 percent to 25 percent, breaking a trend in declining electoral participation among young people since 1982. Last year's midterm elections followed a presidential election that nationally witnessed the highest level of youth voter participation in over a decade, with a national youth voter turnout rate of 49 percent, up 9 percentage points over 2000. ${ }^{2}$


Source: Authors' Tabulations from the Current Population Survey, November Supplements, 1974-2006.
There are three potential explanations for this surge in youth voter turnout. First, there were a large number of voter registration and get out the vote efforts directed towards young people. These generally occurred in states with governor and/or senate races, and include efforts by organizations such as the Hip Hop Summit, U.S. PIRG, and Young Voter Strategies, as well as partisan efforts. Second, states over the past 5 years, have changed voter registration processes and election day procedures in ways that have benefited young people. For example, today states such as Wisconsin, Minnesota, and Maine allow voters to register to vote on election day, a change in voter registration methods that has helped draw more young people to vote. ${ }^{3}$ Third, in 2006, as in 2004, there were many contested elections, which tends to draw more voters to the polls. Note however, that while there were more contested elections in 2006 nationwide, not every state had contested elections. For example, no races (U.S. House of Representatives and U.S. Senate) in the state of Utah were contested. ${ }^{4}$

This fact sheet reports the characteristics of young voters nationally, including estimates of the number of young voters, and the voter turnout rates in 2006, 2002, and 1994 for various sub-groups of young people, and estimates of partisanship among young voters in 2002 and 2006.

[^0]In 2006, the three states with the highest level of youth voter turnout were Minnesota (43 percent), Wisconsin ( 40 percent), and South Dakota ( 39 percent). In contrast, the three states with the lowest voter turnout rates among young people in 2006 were West Virginia ( 16 percent), Texas ( 17 percent) and Utah (17 percent). It is likely that differences in electoral participation among young people across states was driven by high profile gubernatorial and Congressional races and statewide initiatives on the ballot in midterm years.

## Youth Voter Turnout Surged More Than Any Other Age Group

The percentage point increase in turnout posted by the youngest voters, ages 18 to 29, was higher than any other age group for the second election in a row. Voter turnout among voters under 30 jumped 3 points from 22.5 percent to 25.5 percent between 2002 and 2006 . In contrast, for all voters the overall turnout rate grew by 1.7 percentage points from 46.1 percent to 47.8 percent.

Table 1- Change in Voter Turnout Among Citizens by Age, 2002 and 2006

| Age Groups | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | Percentage Point <br> Increase |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1 8 - 2 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 . 5}$ percent | $\mathbf{2 5 . 5}$ percent | $\mathbf{+ 3 . 0}$ points |
| $30-44$ | 42.2 percent | 43.0 percent | +0.8 points |
| $45-59$ | 55.0 percent | 56.0 percent | +1.0 points |
| $60+$ | 62.4 percent | 63.1 percent | +0.7 points |
| All Ages | 46.1 percent | 47.8 percent | +1.7 points |

Source: Authors' Tabulations from the 2006 and 2002 November Supplements of the Current Population Survey.

## Estimates of the Number of Eligible Young Voters, 2006

An estimated 10.8 million young people voted in 2006-an increase of almost 2 million votes from the last midterm election in 2002. Furthermore, young people increased their share of all voters by one percentage point, from 10.2 percent in 2002 to 11.2 percent in 2006.

Table 2 shows voting statistics for the years 2006, 2002, the last midterm election year, and 1994, the last midterm election year that followed a surge in youth voting in a presidential election year (1992). ${ }^{5}$ Note that the number of votes cast by young people was higher in 2006 than in 1994. However, the overall voter turnout rate and voter share are slightly lower reflecting an increase in the youth citizen population between 1994 and 2006.

|  | Young People 18 to 29 | Adults 30 and Older |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2006 |  |  |
| Number of Votes Cast | 10.8 million | 85.4 million |
| Voter Turnout Rate | 25.5 percent | 53.7 percent |
| Share of all Voters | 11.2 percent | 88.8 percent |
| 2002 |  |  |
| Number of Votes Cast | 8.9 million | 78.9 million |
| Voter Turnout Rate | 22.5 percent | 52.4 percent |
| Share of all Voters | 10.2 percent | 89.8 percent |
| 1994 |  |  |
| Number of Votes Cast | 10.5 million | 75.2 million |
| Voter Turnout Rate | 26.1 percent | 54.8 percent |
| Share of all Voters | 12.2 percent | 87.8 percent |
| Source: Authors' Tabulati Supplements of the Curre | 12006, 2002, and on Survey. | November |

## Voter Turnout Rates in 2006, 2002, and 1994 Among Young Citizens

Table 3 displays voter turnout rates for various groups of young people in 2006, 2002, and 1994. In many cases, voter turnout rates in 2006 were similar to 1994. However, between 2002 and 2006, turnout among African Americans and Native Americans declined by 1 point and 14 points, respectively.

The Midwest region experienced an increase of six percentage points in voter turnout between 2002 and 2006, leading all other regions in voter turnout in both elections. This is especially reflected in Table 5, which shows state-by-state voter turnout rates.

## Partisanship Among Young People

According to Exit Poll data on young voters in the 2006 and 2002 midterm elections, party identification has swung in favor of the Democrats. In 2006, 43 percent of young voters, ages 18 to 29, identified as Democrats, an increase of six percentage points over 2002. Republican affiliation among young people fell eight percentage points during the same period. (See Table 4.)

Table 3 - U.S. Voter Turnout Rates Among Young Citizens ages 18 to 29, 2006, 2002, and 1994

| Voter Turnout Rate <br> Among: | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 4}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Race/ Ethnicity |  |  |  |
| White non-Hispanics | 28 percent | 23 percent | 28 percent |
| Black non-Hispanics | 24 percent | 25 percent | 23 percent |
| Hispanics | 19 percent | 16 percent | 20 percent |
| Asian non-Hispanics | 17 percent | 16 percent | 23 percent |
| Native American non- <br> Hispanics | 11 percent | 14 percent | 25 percent |
| Other | 22 percent | N/A | N/A |
| Gender | 27 percent | 24 percent | 27 percent |
| Women | 24 percent | 21 percent | 25 percent |
| Men |  |  |  |

## Educationa <br> Attainment

| Less than High |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| School | 11 percent | 10 percent |


| Marital Status |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Single Men | 23 percent | 20 percent | 24 percent |
| Single Women | 26 percent | 23 percent | 26 percent |
| Married Men | 29 percent | 26 percent | 29 percent |
| Married Women | 31 percent | 28 percent | 30 percent |


| Region |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Northeast | 23 percent | 21 percent |
| Midwest | 31 percent | 25 percent |
| South | 23 percent | 22 percent |
| West | 26 percent | 22 percent |
| Registered Voters | 50 percent | 47 percent |
| All Youth | 25 percent | 22 percent |

Source: Authors' Tabulations from the 2006, 2002 and 1994 November Supplements of the Current Population Survey. Note that identification of "other" race is only available in 2006.


Table 5 - Voter Turnout Among Citizens by State, 2006

| State | Voter Turnout Among 18-29 year old Citizens in 2006 | Voter Turnout Among 30 year old and older Citizens in 2006 | Difference in Voter Turnout between 18-29 year olds and 30+ citizens in 2006 ${ }^{7}$ | Voter <br> Turnout <br> Among 18-29 <br> year old <br> Citizens in <br> 2002 | Change in <br> Youth Voter <br> Turnout <br> between <br> 2002 and <br> $2006^{8}$. | Voter Turnout Among 18- 29 year old Citizens in 1994 | Change in Youth Voter Turnout between 1994 and 2006 ${ }^{9}$. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alabama | 26\% | 57\% | 31\% points | 31\% | -5\% points | 31\% | -5\% points |
| Alaska | 30\% | 62\% | 32\% points | 34\% | -4\% points | 36\% | -6\% points |
| Arizona | 23\% | 52\% | 29\% points | 14\% | 9\% points | 21\% | 2\% points |
| Arkansas | 21\% | 52\% | 31\% points | 21\% | 0\% points | 21\% | 0\% points |
| California | 25\% | 54\% | 29\% points | 22\% | 3\% points | 37\% | -12\% points |
| Colorado | 31\% | 61\% | 30\% points | 29\% | 2\% points | 24\% | 7\% points |
| Connecticut | 22\% | 55\% | 33\% points | 23\% | -1\% point | 24\% | -2\% points |
| Delaware | 25\% | 51\% | 26\% points | 15\% | 10\% points | 16\% | 9\% points |
| D.C. | 29\% | 58\% | 29\% points | 32\% | -3\% points | 47\% | -18\% points |
| Florida | 18\% | 50\% | 32\% points | 23\% | -5\% points | 23\% | -5\% points |
| Georgia | 29\% | 48\% | 19\% points | 22\% | 7\% points | 19\% | 10\% points |
| Hawaii | 21\% | 49\% | 28\% points | 20\% | 1\% point | 27\% | -6\% points |
| Idaho | 30\% | 58\% | 28\% points | 24\% | 6\% points | 32\% | -2\% points |
| Illinois | 23\% | 54\% | 31\% points | 23\% | 0\% points | 23\% | 0\% points |
| Indiana | 23\% | 52\% | 29\% points | 19\% | 4\% points | 14\% | 9\% points |
| Iowa | 27\% | 63\% | 36\% points | 23\% | 4\% points | 33\% | -6\% points |
| Kansas | 20\% | 54\% | 34\% points | 23\% | -3\% points | 19\% | 1\% point |
| Kentucky | 28\% | 55\% | 27\% points | 30\% | -2\% points | 17\% | 11\% points |
| Louisiana | 18\% | 46\% | 28\% points | 28\% | -10\% points | 17\% | 1\% point |
| Maine | 32\% | 64\% | 32\% points | 31\% | 1\% point | 37\% | -5\% points |
| Maryland | 33\% | 62\% | 29\% points | 24\% | 9\% points | 33\% | 0\% points |
| Massachusetts | 34\% | 60\% | 26\% points | 23\% | 11\% points | 32\% | 2\% points |
| Michigan | 38\% | 62\% | 24\% points | 25\% | 13\% points | 32\% | 6\% points |
| Minnesota | 43\% | 71\% | 28\% points | 45\% | -2\% points | 33\% | 10\% points |
| Mississippi | 25\% | 47\% | 22\% points | 21\% | 4\% points | 27\% | -2\% points |
| Missouri | 32\% | 60\% | 28\% points | 26\% | 6\% points | 37\% | -5\% points |
| Montana | 39\% | 66\% | 27\% points | 26\% | 13\% points | 34\% | 5\% points |
| Nebraska | 27\% | 59\% | 32\% points | 24\% | 3\% points | 26\% | 1\% point |
| Nevada | 20\% | 48\% | 28\% points | 22\% | -2\% points | 20\% | 0\% points |
| New Hampshire | 19\% | 55\% | 36\% points | 24\% | -5\% points | 23\% | -4\% points |
| New J ersey | 22\% | 48\% | 26\% points | 17\% | 5\% points | 24\% | -2\% points |
| New Mexico | 25\% | 62\% | 37\% points | 18\% | 7\% points | 26\% | -1\% point |
| New York | 19\% | 49\% | 30\% points | 21\% | -2\% points | 31\% | -12\% points |
| North Carolina | 21\% | 45\% | 24\% points | 18\% | 3\% points | 17\% | 4\% points |
| North Dakota | 30\% | 63\% | 33\% points | 33\% | -3\% points | 35\% | -5\% points |
| Ohio | 31\% | 59\% | 28\% points | 21\% | 10\% points | 24\% | 7\% points |
| Oklahoma | 25\% | 53\% | 28\% points | 25\% | 0\% points | 21\% | 4\% points |
| Oregon | 32\% | 67\% | 35\% points | 30\% | 2\% points | 38\% | -6\% points |
| Pennsylvania | 25\% | 53\% | 28\% points | 21\% | 4\% points | 19\% | 6\% points |
| Rhode Island | 35\% | 65\% | 30\% points | 20\% | 15\% points | 40\% | -5\% points |
| South Carolina | 24\% | 51\% | 27\% points | 27\% | -3\% points | 27\% | -3\% points |
| South Dakota | 39\% | 71\% | 32\% points | 36\% | 3\% points | 37\% | 2\% points |
| Tennessee | 23\% | 51\% | 28\% points | 21\% | 2\% points | 27\% | -4\% points |
| Texas | 17\% | 45\% | 28\% points | 17\% | 0\% points | 20\% | -3\% points |
| Utah | 17\% | 47\% | 30\% points | 22\% | -5\% points | 27\% | -10\% points |
| Vermont | 26\% | 65\% | 39\% points | 20\% | 6\% points | 19\% | 7\% points |
| Virginia | 32\% | 51\% | 19\% points | 18\% | 14\% points | 26\% | 6\% points |
| Washington | 30\% | 59\% | 29\% points | 20\% | 10\% points | 25\% | 5\% points |
| West Virginia | 16\% | 41\% | 25\% points | 15\% | 1\% point | 16\% | 0\% points |
| Wisconsin | 40\% | 63\% | 23\% points | 24\% | 16\% points | 27\% | 13\% points |
| Wyoming | 26\% | 60\% | 34\% points | 30\% | -4\% points | 42\% | -16\% points |
| National | 25\% | 54\% | 29\% points | 22\% | 3\% points | 26\% | -1\% point |

## Notes

${ }^{1}$ We thank Jared Sagoff for excellent research assistance. We also thank Peter Levine, Deborah Both, and Alex Orlowski for comments on previous drafts of this fact sheet.
${ }^{2}$ For more information on the voter turnout rates of young people in presidential years, see "CIRCLE Working Paper 35: The Youth Voter 2004: With a Historical Look at Youth Voting Patterns 1972-2004."
${ }^{3}$ See Fitzgerald, Mary. "Working Paper 01: Easier Voting Methods Boost Youth Turnout." February 2003. CIRCLE Working Paper Series. http://www.civicyouth.org/?p=52

See Wolfinger, Raymond E., Highton, Benjamin, and Mullin, Megan. "Working Paper 15: How Postregistration Laws Affect the Turnout of Registrants. June 2004. CIRCLE Working Paper Series. http://www.civicyouth.org/?p=66
${ }^{4}$ We define a contested race as a result in which the winner defeats the runner-up by five percentage points or less, just outside the margin of error.
${ }^{5}$ For a full discussion of the different ways voter turnout can be calculated please see "CIRCLE Working Paper 35: The Youth Voter 2004: With a Historical Look at Youth Voting Patterns 1972-2004." All voter turnout estimates presented in this fact sheet are calculated for U.S. citizens only, according to the "Census Citizen Method" described in CIRCLE Working Paper 35.
${ }^{6}$ We have defined racial/ethnic groups in the Current Population Survey November Supplements by defining anyone with Hispanic background as Latino; individuals who cite a single race or ethnicity and who are nonHispanic as white, African American, Asian American or Native American. All programs used to generate race and ethnicity variables are available from the authors upon request.
${ }^{7}$ This is calculated by taking the 30+ voter turnout rate and subtracting the 18-29 voter turnout rate.
${ }^{8}$ This is calculated by taking the 2002 voter turnout figure and subtracting from it the 2006 voter turnout figure. Thus, a negative number represents a decline between 2002 and 2006. A positive number represents an improvement between 2002 and 2006.
${ }^{9}$ This is calculated by taking the 1994 voter turnout figure and subtracting from it the 2006 voter turnout figure. Thus, a negative number represents a decline between 1994 and 2006. A positive number represents an improvement between 1994 and 2006.
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