CIRCLE focuses on the half of the youth population without college experience. For many youth in low-income communities, the criminal justice system has significant effects on everyday life. Because of a lack of data, it is difficult to understand the civic effects of interactions with the criminal justice system. This article, however, summarizes some existing published research.1

INTERACTION WITH THE CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM NEGATIVELY IMPACTS CIVIC EFFICACY

In their analysis of youth in the National Longitudinal Study of Adolescent Health and the Fragile Families and Child Wellbeing Study, Vesla M. Weaver and Amy E. Lerman find that increasing contact with the criminal justice system decreases the likelihood of civic and political participation later in life. “Even a minor encounter with the police that did not result in arrest is associated with a reduced likelihood of turning out in an election.” 2 (The Fragile Families dataset includes a measure of ineligibility to vote.)

“EVEN A MINOR ENCOUNTER WITH THE POLICE THAT DID NOT RESULT IN ARREST IS ASSOCIATED WITH A REDUCED LIKELIHOOD OF TURNING OUT IN AN ELECTION.”

In an analysis of Black Youth Project Survey data, Amir Fairdosi finds that “the act of being arrested has a negative and statistically significant impact on almost all indicators of political efficacy” regardless of race and ethnicity.3 Christopher Uggen and Jeff Manza (2006) find a similar dynamic with respect to efficacy and trust in their analysis of longitudinal data from St. Paul, Minnesota.4

Uggen and Manza also find that youth who were incarcerated are less likely (when compared to their peers who have been arrested but not convicted or not arrested at all) to trust the government or believe in their own ability to affect the government (“efficacy”).5

Incarceration also has an indirect effect on a person’s civic propensities through long-term effects on wages and employment.6 In contrast, according to literature on the “transition to adulthood,” work and the workplace expose young people to skills, resources, people, and opportunities that provide a gateway to civic life.7 Civic-related youth programs that provide work experiences can have a similar effect, such as Youth Corps8 and AmeriCorps.9

CIVIC PARTICIPATION CAN POTENTIALY REDUCE RECIDIVISM

Christopher Uggen and Jennifer Janikula find that civic participation can be a preventive force against arrest and interaction with the criminal justice system. Their study examines the impact of volunteering on recidivism rates. The majority of volunteers in the study focus on what they call ‘secular-civic’ activities, or “activities [that] occur outside a religious, partisan, or private business setting.”10 The results show that “only three percent of the volunteers were arrested in the four years following high school, compared to 11% of the non-volunteers.”11 An evaluation of the National Guard Youth Challenge program, which has a community service component, finds that the study’s control group is more likely to be arrested, convicted or incarcerated.12 Other research finds similar results with juvenile offenders.13 YouthBuild is a program focused on building low-income youth’s job skills, educational credentials and community-focused leadership. An evaluation of the YouthBuild Youth Offender grants (conducted between 2004-2006) finds that 75% of participants at the time of data collection (April and December 2007) had “no further conviction or revocation of probation/parole.”14 Additionally, Mark Cohen and Alex Piquero analyzed a subset of this program data and also found that offending among YouthBuild graduates decreased and educational outcomes increased.15

RESEARCH HAS ALSO FOCUSED ON RE-ENTRY INTO CIVIL SOCIETY AFTER INCARCERATION AS A PARTICULARLY IMPORTANT TIME WITH RESPECT TO WHAT CHRISTOPHER UGGEN AND COLLEAGUES CALL “CIVIC REINTEGRATION.”

Research has also focused on re-entry into civil society after incarceration as a particularly important time with respect to what Christopher Uggen and colleagues call “civic reintegration.”16 Gordon Bazemore and Carsten Erbe provide a theoretical background on this model.17
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These research studies provide helpful information for understanding the factors that influence the civic engagement of young people who have had interactions with the criminal justice system. In particular, it suggests that civic stratification - systems that provide more or less opportunities - are at work in young peoples’transition to adulthood.

ENDNOTES

1 Note that in the criminal justice field, “juveniles” are generally defined as people under eighteen years of age. CIRCLE, however, studies “youth,” defined as people between the age of roughly 16 to 30. We are interested in the civic development of young people who have interacted with the justice system, because they are often believed to be marginalized.
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